

ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/940,866 08/29/2001 Kazuyuki Hayashi 1417-360 5095 23117 7590 09/07/2004 **EXAMINER** NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC WYROZEBSKI LEE, KATARZYNA I 1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1714

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	9
Office Action Summary	09/940,866	HAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Katarzyna Wyrozebski	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appr Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Jule 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the pract	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 56-116 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 56-116 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pate 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/940,866

Art Unit: 1714

In view of applicant's response and amendment dated 6/24/2004, examiner acknowledges cancellation of claims 1-55 and 58-60. Properly filed terminal disclaimed obviates double patenting rejection of record. Previously objected claims 56 and 57 have been re-written in independent form claiming rubber or resin composition comprising composite particle of cancelled claim 1. New claims 61-116 are added. Claims 61-115 directed to rubber or resin composition comprising colorant as previously described in cancelled claims with independent claims 71, 80, 87, 99 and 107. Claim 56 was directed towards inorganic white particles, whereas the newly added claims 107 refers to any type of pigment, which is broader claim. Claim 116 is pigment dispersion, which is still rejectable over the prior art record.

Second non-final office action is necessitated in view of the prior art that will be used to reject previously objected claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 116 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WINNIK (US 5,378,574).

Art Unit: 1714

The discussion of the disclosure of WINNIK from paragraph 6 of the office action mailed on 1/28/2004 is incorporated here by reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 56-116 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WINNIK (US 5,378,574) in view of JP (01138114) and TUNASHIMA (US 6,126,915).

The discussion of the disclosure of WINNIK and TUNASHIMA from paragraph 6 and 10 of the office action mailed on 1/28/2004 is incorporated here by reference.

The difference between the present invention and the disclosure of the prior art of WINNIK is showing that the colored silica can be utilized in other composition such as coloring agent for synthetic resins.

With respect to the above argument, JP prior art discloses colored silica that is utilized as a filler for synthetic rubber or a coloring agent for paints and synthetic resin (see attached Abstract).

Silica is well known reinforcing filler for rubber composition, for example, for tires. It is also known pigment.

Art Unit: 1714

In the light of the above disclosure, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to utilize the silica of WINNIK in other compositions such as those involving rubber and resin. Selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supports *prima facie* obviousness. *Sinclair & Carroll Co vs. Interchemical Corp.* 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945).

- 5. Applicant's arguments are considered moot, due to changes in the prior art as applied against present claims.
- 6. The examiner acknowledges receipt of most of the prior art documents either in the present invention or in the CIP. The applicants should however, submit certified copies of all priority documents with the parent application. In addition the applicants are still missing JP 2002-59439.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski whose telephone number is (571) 272-1127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cifanyina Kyrozebski Katarzyjia Wyrozebski

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

September 3, 2004